UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RYAN-MYKAL S. BACON,

Plaintiff,

v.

20-CV-6337 (KMK)

ORDER OF SERVICE

JOSHUA EVANS,

Defendant.

KENNETH M. KARAS, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, currently incarcerated at Sullivan Correctional Facility, brings this pro se action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that Defendant violated his rights under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments. By order dated September 23, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). (Dkt. No. 5.)<sup>1</sup>

## **DISCUSSION**

Because Plaintiff has been granted permission to proceed IFP, he is entitled to rely on the Court and the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service. *Walker v. Schult*, 717 F.3d. 119, 123 n.6 (2d Cir. 2013); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) ("The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process . . . in [IFP] cases."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3) (the court must order the Marshals Service to serve if the plaintiff is authorized to proceed IFP)). Although Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure generally requires that the summons and complaint be served within 90 days of the date the complaint is filed, Plaintiff is proceeding IFP and could not have served the summons and complaint until the Court reviewed the complaint and ordered that a summons be issued. The Court therefore extends the time to serve until 90 days after the date the summons is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prisoners are not exempt from paying the full filing fee even when they have been granted permission to proceed IFP. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

issued. If the complaint is not served within that time, Plaintiff should request an extension of

time for service. See Meilleur v. Strong, 682 F.3d 56, 63 (2d Cir. 2012) (holding that it is the

plaintiff's responsibility to request an extension of time for service); see also Murray v. Pataki,

378 F. App'x 50, 52 (2d Cir. 2010) ("As long as the [plaintiff proceeding IFP] provides the

information necessary to identify the defendant, the Marshals' failure to effect service

automatically constitutes 'good cause' for an extension of time within the meaning of Rule

4(m).").

To allow Plaintiff to effect service on Defendant Joshua Evans through the U.S. Marshals

Service, the Clerk of Court is instructed to fill out a U.S. Marshals Service Process Receipt and

Return form ("USM-285 form") for this defendant. The Clerk of Court is further instructed to

issue a summons and deliver to the Marshals Service all the paperwork necessary for the

Marshals Service to effect service upon this defendant.

Plaintiff must notify the Court in writing if his address changes, and the Court may

dismiss the action if Plaintiff fails to do so.

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff, together with an

information package.

The Clerk of Court is further instructed to complete the USM-285 forms with the address

for Joshua Evans and deliver to the U.S. Marshals Service all documents necessary to effect

service on this defendant.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Septer

September 25, 2020

White Plains, New York

KENNETH M. KARAS

United States District Judge

2

## **DEFENDANT AND SERVICE ADDRESS**

Correction Officer Joshua Evans Sullivan County Correctional Facility 325 Riverside Drive P.O. Box 116 Fallsburg, NY 12733-0116